


Deagel's Depopulation Forecast confirmed by Heavily Censored Pfizer Documents



Dylan Eleven

Dec 30, 2023 16 min



		Rank	%	World Figures
Currency:	US Dollar USD (\$)	-	-	-
Year:	2015	-	-	2015
Population:	321 million	3	4.4	7.2 billion
Surface:	9.6 million sq. km.	3	7.1	135 million sq. km.
Density:	33 inhabitants / sq. km.	156	61	54 inhabitants / sq. km.
Gross Domestic Product:	\$18 trillion	1	25	\$73 trillion
GDP per capita:	\$55,855	12	554	\$10,082
Purchase Power Parity:	\$55,800	16	356	\$15,659
Budget:	\$6.0 trillion	1	23	\$26 trillion
Military Budget:	\$781 billion	1	42	\$1.8 trillion
Imports:	\$2.4 trillion	1	15.0	\$16 trillion
Exports:	\$1.6 trillion	2	9.7	\$16 trillion
Forecast 2025				
Population:	▼ 61 million	19	0.9	▼ 6.8 billion
Density:	▼ 6 inhabitants / sq. km.	170	12.2	▼ 49 inhabitants / sq. km.
Gross Domestic Product:	▼ \$949 billion	11	1.7	▼ \$55 trillion
GDP per capita:	▼ \$15,517	49	192	▼ \$8,080
Purchase Power Parity:	▼ \$10,345	78	111	▼ \$9,330
Military Budget:	▼ \$8.2 billion	22	0.9	▼ \$950 billion

Expose-News.com

A controversial forecast by Deagel, a global intelligence and consulting firm, gained attention in 2020 for its startling prediction of a significant depopulation event across the Western World by 2025.

This was a very bold claim to make.

Subscribe

'Your Government is trying to kill you' is even bolder.

But unfortunately, these bold claims are now backed up with a mountain of evidence, and most of that evidence can be found in the confidential Pfizer documents that the U.S. Food & Drug Administration has been forced to publish by court order.

And sadly, the evidence strongly suggests that Covid-19 vaccination is causing mass depopulation.

What is Deagel?

The Deagel corporation is a minor branch of US military intelligence, one of the many secretive organizations which collect data for high-level decision-making purposes and prepares confidential briefing documents for agencies like the National Security Agency, the United Nations, and the World Bank.


It is known to have contributed to a Stratfor report on North Korea. With this kind of pedigree, Deagel should be seen as a legitimate player in the intelligence community and not merely a disinformation asset.

This means its population predictions for 2025, as well as its industrial output predictions on a nation-by-nation basis, are not mere fantasy but instead based on strategic assumptions which are shared and well understood by other players in the intelligence community.

What has Deagel Predicted?


Deagel.com's [infamous] 2025 forecast was removed from their website sometime in 2020. However, thanks to the Wayback Machine / Internet Archive, we are able to view the original predictions before discovered by critical thinkers.

Deagel predicted in 2020 that the United Kingdom would see its population decline by 77.1% by the year 2025.




Source

Deagel predicted in 2020 that the United States would see its population decline by 68.5 % by the year 2025.




Source

Deagel predicted in 2020 that Germany would see its population decline by 65.1% by the year 2025.



Source

Deagel predicted in 2020 that Australia would see its population decline by 34.6% by the year 2025.



Source

While also predicting a huge decline among many other Western countries.

COUNTRY ▲	POPULATION 2017 ▲	POPULATION 2025 ▲	POPULATION CHANGE ▲	GDP 2017 ▲	GDP 2025 ▲
United Kingdom	63,390,000	14,517,860	-77.1%	\$2,490,000	\$197,472
Ireland	4,770,000	1,318,740	-72.4%	\$220,900	\$24,440
United States of America	316,440,000	99,553,100	-68.5%	\$16,720,000	\$2,445,124
Puerto Rico	3,640,000	1,165,780	-68.0%	\$93,520	\$20,977
Germany	80,590,000	28,134,920	-65.1%	\$3,650,000	\$620,544
Luxembourg	514,862	199,020	-61.3%	\$60,540	\$5,792
Israel	7,710,000	3,982,480	-48.3%	\$272,700	\$70,478
Libya	6,000,000	3,253,820	-45.8%	\$70,920	\$17,328
Iceland	339,747	195,927	-42.3%	\$24,850	\$4,169
France	67,100,000	39,114,580	-41.7%	\$2,570,000	\$1,077,685
Spain	47,370,000	27,763,280	-41.4%	\$1,350,000	\$553,380
Bahrain	1,410,000	837,800	-40.6%	\$33,870	\$16,998
Cyprus	1,220,000	791,720	-35.1%	\$21,110	\$11,545
Australia	23,230,000	15,196,600	-34.6%	\$1,390,000	\$420,361

Source

A full list of Deagel's original apocalyptic depopulation predictions can be viewed [here](#).

Sadly, the confidential Pfizer documents coupled with staggering deaths among children suggest Deagel's depopulation figures were not just an estimation, but a target.

The Confidential Pfizer Documents

The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) attempted to delay the release of Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine safety data for 75 years despite approving the injection after only 108 days of safety review on December 11th, 2020.

But in early January 2022, Federal Judge Mark Pittman ordered them to release 55,000 pages per month. They released 12,000 pages by the end of January.

Since then, PHMPT has posted all of the documents on its website. The latest drop happened on 1st June 2022.

One of the documents contained in the data dump is 'reissue_5.3.6_postmarketing_experience.pdf'. Page 12 of the confidential document contains data on the use of the Pfizer Covid-19 injection in pregnancy and lactation.

Confidential Pfizer Documents reveal 90% of Covid Vaccinated Pregnant Women lost their Baby

Pfizer state in the document that by 28th February 2021 there were 270 known cases of exposure to the mRNA injection during pregnancy.

Forty-six-percent of the mothers (124) exposed to the Pfizer Covid-19 injection suffered an adverse reaction.

Of those 124 mothers suffering an adverse reaction, 49 were considered non-serious adverse reactions, whereas 75 were considered serious. This means 58% of the mothers who reported suffering adverse reactions suffered a serious adverse event ranging from uterine contraction to foetal death.


- 146 non-serious mother cases reported exposure to vaccine in utero without the occurrence of any clinical adverse event. The exposure PTs coded to the PTs Maternal exposure during pregnancy (111), Exposure during pregnancy (29) and Maternal exposure timing unspecified (6). Trimester of exposure was reported in 21 of these cases: 1st trimester (15 cases), 2nd trimester (7), and 3rd trimester (2).
- 124 mother cases, 49 non-serious and 75 serious, reported clinical events, which occurred in the vaccinated mothers. Pregnancy related events reported in these cases coded to the PTs Abortion spontaneous (25), Uterine contraction during pregnancy, Premature rupture of membranes, Abortion, Abortion missed, and Foetal death (1 each). Other clinical events which occurred in more than 5 cases coded to the PTs Headache (33), Vaccination site pain (24), Pain in extremity and Fatigue (22 each), Myalgia and Pyrexia (16 each), Chills (13) Nausea (12), Pain (11), Arthralgia (9), Lymphadenopathy and Drug ineffective (7 each), Chest pain, Dizziness and Asthenia (6 each), Malaise and COVID-19 (5 each). Trimester of exposure was reported in 22 of these cases: 1st trimester (19 cases), 2nd trimester (1 case), 3rd trimester (2 cases).

A total of 4 serious foetus/baby cases were reported due to exposure to the Pfizer injection.

- 4 serious foetus/baby cases reported the PTs Exposure during pregnancy, Foetal growth restriction, Maternal exposure during pregnancy, Premature baby (2 each), and Death neonatal (1). Trimester of exposure was reported for 2 cases (twins) as occurring during the 1st trimester.

But here's where things get rather concerning. Pfizer state that of the 270 pregnancies they have absolutely no idea what happened in 238 of them.

But here are the known outcomes of the remaining pregnancies –



There were 34 outcomes altogether at the time of the report, but 5 of them were still pending. Pfizer note that only 1 of the 29 known outcomes were normal, whilst 28 of the 29 outcomes resulted in the loss/death of the baby. This equates to 97% of all

known outcomes of Covid-19 vaccination during pregnancy resulting in the loss of the child.

When we include the 5 cases where the outcome was still pending it equates to 82% of all outcomes of Covid-19 vaccination during pregnancy resulting in the loss of the child. This equates to an average of around 90% between the 82% and 97% figure.

So here we have our first piece of evidence that something is amiss when it comes to administering the Pfizer Covid-19 injection during pregnancy.

Here's the guidance taken from the UK Government's '**REG 174 INFORMATION FOR UK HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS**' document –

'Pregnancy

There are no or limited amount of data from the use of COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2.

*Animal reproductive toxicity studies **have not been completed**. COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine **BNT162b2 is not recommended during pregnancy.***

*For women of childbearing age, pregnancy should be excluded before vaccination. In addition, women of childbearing age should be advised to **avoid pregnancy for at least 2 months after their second dose.***

6

Breast-feeding

It is unknown whether COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 is excreted in human milk. A risk to the newborns/infants cannot be excluded. COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 should not be used during breast-feeding.

Fertility

*It is **unknown** whether COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 has an **impact on fertility.***

That's how the guidance read in December 2020 anyway. Unfortunately, just a month or so later, the UK Government and other Governments around the world revised that guidance to read as follows –

4.6 Fertility, pregnancy and lactation

Pregnancy

There is limited experience with use of the COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 in pregnant women. Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to pregnancy, embryo/foetal development, parturition or post-natal development (see section 5.3). Administration of the COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 in pregnancy should only be considered when the potential benefits outweigh any potential risks for the mother and foetus.

Breast-feeding

It is unknown whether the COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 is excreted in human milk.

Fertility

Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to reproductive toxicity (see section 5.3)

Source – Page 7

This is still the official guidance as of June 2022, and leads to several questions requiring urgent answers when we consider since early 2021 pregnant women have been told Covid-19 vaccination is perfectly safe.

You only have to look at the things women were told to avoid during pregnancy prior to being told it's perfectly safe to take an experimental injection to realise something just isn't right here –

- Smoked fish,
- Soft cheese,
- Wet paint,
- Coffee,
- Herbal tea,
- Vitamin supplements,
- Processed Junk foods.

These are just to name a few, and the list is endless.

So let's start with the 'Pregnancy' section of the official guidance. In December 2020 the guidance stated '*Covid-19 vaccination is not recommended during pregnancy*'. Just

a month or so later this guidance stated '*Animal studies do not indicate harmful effects with respect to pregnancy etc.*'

So let's take a look at the animal studies in question.

But before we do it's worth pointing out that the official guidance states, as of June 2022, that '*administration of the COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 in pregnancy should only be considered when the potential benefits outweigh any potential risks for the mother and foetus.*' So why on earth has every single pregnant woman up and down the land been actively coerced into getting this injection?

Pfizer and Medicine Regulators hid dangers of Covid-19 Vaccination during Pregnancy due to Animal Study finding an increased risk of Birth Defects & Infertility

The limited animal study talked about in the official guidance actually uncovered the risk of significant harm to the developing foetus, but medicine regulators in the USA, UK and Australia actively chose to remove this information from public documents.

The actual study can be viewed in full [**here**](#) and is titled '*Lack of effects on female fertility and prenatal and postnatal offspring development in rats with BNT162b2, a mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine*'.

The study was performed on 42 female Wistar Han rats. Twenty-one were given the Pfizer Covid-19 injection, and 21 were not.

Here are the results of the study –

Table 3. Summary of rat fetal examination data from the embryo fetal development study with control (saline) and BNT162b2 (n = 21 rats per group).

	Control (saline)	BNT162b2	CRL-Lyon HC ^a
Ribs			
Supernumerary cervical – [A]	3/3 (2.1)	–	11 (4.5)
Supernumerary lumbar – [A]	3/3 (2.1)	6/12 (8.3)	17 (9.7)
Supernumerary lumbar, short – [V]	17/57 (39.6)	18/71 (49.3)	500 (56.1)
Thick – [A]	1/2 (1.4)	3/4 (2.8)	57 (11.2)
Wavy – [A]	–	1/1 (0.7)	13 (3.4)

Source

The results of the number of fetuses observed to have supernumerary lumbar ribs in the control group were 3/3 (2.1). But the results of the number of fetuses to have supernumerary lumbar ribs in the vaccinated group were 6/12 (8.3). Therefore on average, the rate of occurrence was 295% higher in the vaccinated group.

Supernumerary ribs also called accessory ribs are an uncommon variant of extra ribs arising most commonly from the cervical or lumbar vertebrae.

So what this study found is evidence of abnormal foetal formation and birth defects caused by the Pfizer Covid-19 injection.

But the abnormal findings of the study don't end there. The 'pre-implantation loss' rate in the vaccinated group of rats was double that of the control group.

Table 2. Cesarean section observations and fetal weights from the female rats in the cesarean section cohort administered control (saline) or BNT162b2.

	Control (saline)	BNT162b2	CRL-Lyon HC Mean (min–max) ^a
C-Section Cohort (n)^b	21	21	–
Gravid uterine weight (g)	86.32 ± 7.69 ^c	87.65 ± 13.48	75.6 (64.6–86.8)
Corpora lutea	14.7 ± 1.6	15.5 ± 2.1	13.2 (11.6–14.3)
Implantation sites	14.1 ± 1.6	14.0 ± 2.2	12.1 (10.4–13.8)
Pre-implantation loss (%)	4.09 ± 6.56	9.77 ± 8.09 [*]	8.4 (1.4–16.2)
Post-implantation loss (%)	6.10 ± 7.64	5.85 ± 7.28	8.8 (2.4–17.3)
Number live fetuses	13.2 ± 1.6	13.1 ± 2.1	11.0 (9.3–12.7)
Mean fetal body weight (g)	4.89 ± 0.23	4.90 ± 0.30	5.09 (4.87–5.24)

Source

Pre-implantation loss refers to fertilised ova that fail to implant. Therefore, this study suggests that the Pfizer Covid-19 injection reduces the chances of a woman being able to get pregnant. So, therefore, increases the risk of infertility.

So with this being the case, how on earth have medicine regulators around the world managed to state in their official guidance that *“Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to pregnancy”*? And how have they managed to state *“It is unknown whether the Pfizer vaccine has an impact on fertility”*?

The truth of the matter is that they actively chose to cover it up.

We know this thanks to a ‘Freedom of Information (FOI) request made to the Australian Government Department of Health Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA).

A document titled ‘Delegate’s Overview and Request for ACV’s Advice’ that was created on 11th January 2021 was published under the FOI request. Page 30 onwards of

the document shows a 'review of the product information', and highlights changes that should be made to the 'Non-clinical evaluation report' prior to official publication.

The changes were requested to be made by Pfizer prior to the next product information update.

Some of those requested changes were as follows –

4. Please revise the PI statement according to the recommendations made by the Module 4 evaluator below:

4.6 Fertility, pregnancy and lactation

Effects on fertility

The statement proposed in Section 5.3 *Preclinical safety data – Reproductive toxicity* should be moved here with minor modification.

"In a combined fertility and developmental toxicity study, female rats were intramuscularly administered COMIRNATY prior to mating and during gestation (4 full human doses of 30 µg each, spanning between pre-mating day 21 and gestation day 20). SARS CoV-2 neutralising antibodies were present in maternal animals from prior to mating to the end of the study on postnatal day 21 as well as in fetuses and offspring. There were no vaccine related effects on female fertility and pregnancy rate. Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to reproductive toxicity (see Section 5.3 Preclinical safety data)."

The Module 4 evaluator requested Pfizer remove their claim that "Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to reproductive toxicity".

Why?

As discussed in the assessment, Pregnancy Category B2 is considered appropriate for this product. Following changes are recommended.

"Pregnancy Category B2

There is limited experience with use of COMIRNATY in pregnant women. Animal studies do A combined fertility and developmental toxicity study in rats showed increased occurrence of supernumerary lumbar ribs in fetuses from COMIRNATY-treated female rats did not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to pregnancy, embryo/fetal development, r post-natal development (see Effects on fertility Section 5.3 Preclinical safety data). Administration of COMIRNATY in pregnancy should only be considered when the potential benefits outweigh any potential risks for the mother and fetus."

The Module 4 evaluator told Pfizer that 'Pregnancy Category B2' was considered appropriate and requested that they added the following line –

"A combined fertility and developmental toxicity study in rats showed increased occurrence of supernumerary lumbar ribs in fetuses from COMIRNATY- treated female rats".

But here's a reminder of how the official document issued to the general public reads –

Use in pregnancy - Pregnancy Category B1

There is limited experience with use of COMIRNATY in pregnant women. Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to pregnancy, embryo/fetal development, parturition or post-natal development (see Effects on fertility). Administration of COMIRNATY in pregnancy should only be considered when the potential benefits outweigh any potential risks for the mother and fetus.

Source – Page 7

The pregnancy category was changed to 'B1', no line was included on the increased occurrence of supernumerary lumbar ribs in fetuses, and they instead included the line that was requested to be removed claiming "*Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to pregnancy...*".

Here's the official description of the pregnancy categories –

Category B1

Drugs which have been taken by only a limited number of pregnant women and women of childbearing age, without an increase in the frequency of malformation or other direct or indirect harmful effects on the human fetus having been observed.

Studies in animals have not shown evidence of an increased occurrence of fetal damage.

Category B2

Drugs which have been taken by only a limited number of pregnant women and women of childbearing age, without an increase in the frequency of malformation or other direct or indirect harmful effects on the human fetus having been observed.

Studies in animals are inadequate or may be lacking, but available data show no evidence of an increased occurrence of fetal damage.

Source

That's quite a big difference between the two categories. But the fact that the Module 4 evaluator even thought Pregnancy Category B2 was appropriate is highly questionable when you consider the results, as we revealed above, of the "inadequate" and extremely small animal study that was performed to evaluate the safety of administering the Pfizer Covid-19 injection during pregnancy.

So not only do we have evidence that the Pfizer vaccine may cause between 82% and 97% of recipients to lose their babies, we also now have evidence that the Pfizer vaccine leads to an increased risk of suffering infertility or birth defects.

Both of these examples alone support the suggestion that Covid-19 vaccination is going to lead to depopulation. But unfortunately, the evidence doesn't end there.

Confidential Pfizer Documents reveal the Covid-19 Vaccine accumulates in the Ovaries

Another study, which can be found in the long list of confidential Pfizer documents that the FDA have been forced to publish via a court order here, was carried out on Wistar Han rats, 21 of which were female and 21 of which were male.

Each rat received a single intramuscular dose of the Pfizer Covid-19 injection and then the content and concentration of total radioactivity in blood, plasma and tissues were determined at pre-defined points following administration.

In other words, the scientists conducting the study measured how much of the Covid-19 injection has spread to other parts of the body such as the skin, liver, spleen, heart etc.

But one of the most concerning findings from the study is the fact that the Pfizer injection accumulates in the ovaries over time.

An 'ovary' is one of a pair of female glands in which the eggs form and the female hormones oestrogen and progesterone are made.

2.6.5.5B. PHARMACOKINETICS: ORGAN
DISTRIBUTION CONTINUED

Test Art


Sample	Total Lipid concentration (µg lipid equivalent/g [or mL]) (males and females combined)						
	0.25 h	1 h	2 h	4 h	8 h	24 h	48 h
Lymph (mandibular)	0.064	0.189	0.290	0.408	0.534	0.554	0.727
Lymph node (mesenteric)	0.050	0.146	0.530	0.489	0.689	0.985	1.37
Muscle	0.021	0.061	0.084	0.103	0.096	0.095	0.192
Ovaries (females)	0.104	1.34	1.64	2.34	3.09	5.24	12.3
Pancreas	0.081	0.207	0.414	0.380	0.294	0.358	0.599
Pituitary gland	0.339	0.645	0.868	0.854	0.405	0.478	0.694
Prostate (males)	0.061	0.091	0.128	0.157	0.150	0.183	0.170
Salivary glands	0.084	0.193	0.255	0.220	0.135	0.170	0.264
Skin	0.013	0.208	0.159	0.145	0.119	0.157	0.253
Small intestine	0.030	0.221	0.476	0.879	1.28	1.30	1.47
Spinal cord	0.043	0.097	0.169	0.250	0.106	0.085	0.112
Spleen	0.334	2.47	7.73	10.3	22.1	20.1	23.4
Stomach	0.017	0.065	0.115	0.144	0.268	0.152	0.215
Testes (Males)	0.031	0.042	0.079	0.129	0.146	0.304	0.320
Thymus	0.088	0.243	0.340	0.335	0.196	0.207	0.331
Thyroid	0.155	0.536	0.842	0.851	0.544	0.578	1.00
Uterus (females)	0.043	0.203	0.305	0.140	0.287	0.289	0.456
Whole blood	1.97	4.37	5.40	3.05	1.31	0.909	0.420
Plasma	3.97	8.13	8.90	6.50	2.36	1.78	0.805
Blood: plasma ratio	0.815	0.515	0.550	0.510	0.555	0.530	0.540

In the first 15 minutes following injection of the Pfizer jab, researchers found that the total lipid concentration in the ovaries measured 0.104ml. This then increased to 1.34ml after 1 hour, 2.34ml after 4 hours, and then 12.3ml after 48 hours.

The scientists, however, did not conduct any further research on the accumulation after a period of 48 hours, so we simply don't know whether that concerning accumulation continued.


But official UK data published by Public Health Scotland, which can be found [here](#), offers some concerning clues as to the consequences of that accumulation on the ovaries.

Figures for the number of individuals suffering from ovarian cancer show that the known trend in 2021 was significantly higher than 2020 and the 2017-2019 average.



Ovarian Cancer – Source

The above chart shows up to June 2021, but the charts found on Public Health Scotland's dashboard now show figures all the way up to December 2021 and unfortunately reveal that the gap has widened even further with the number of women suffering Ovarian cancer increasing significantly.



[Click to enlarge](#)

That concludes our third piece of evidence. So now we know –


- Confidential Pfizer documents show a miscarriage rate between 82 and 97%,
- The only animal study performed to prove the safety of administering the Pfizer vaccine during pregnancy indicated an increased risk of infertility and birth defects,
- and further confidential Pfizer documents reveal the vaccine accumulates in the ovaries.

Unfortunately, we also have evidence that Covid-19 vaccination increases the risk of newborn babies sadly losing their lives, and it also comes from the Public Health Scotland 'Covid-19 Wider Impacts' dashboard.

Newborn Baby Deaths hit critical levels for 2nd time in 7 Months in March 2022

Official figures reveal that the rate of neonatal deaths increased to 4.6 per 1000 live births in March 2022, a 119% increase on the expected rate of deaths. This means the neonatal mortality rate breached an upper warning threshold known as the 'control limit' for the second time in at least four years.

The last time it breached was in September 2021, when neonatal deaths per 1000 live births climbed to 5.1. Although the rate fluctuates month to month, the figure for both September 2021 and March 2022 is on a par with levels that were last typically seen in the late 1980s.



[Click to enlarge Source](#)

Public Health Scotland (PHS) did not formally announce they had launched an investigation, but this is what they are supposed to do when the upper warning threshold is reached, and they did so back in 2021.

At the time, PHS said the fact that the upper control limit has been exceeded “*indicates there is a higher likelihood that there are factors beyond random variation that may have contributed to the number of deaths that occurred*”.

Our final piece of evidence to support the claim that Covid-19 vaccination is going to lead to depopulation comes in the form of more real-world data, but this time from the USA.

Covid-19 Vaccination increases risk of suffering Miscarriage by at least 1,517%




According to the Centers for Disease Control’s (CDC)) Vaccine Adverse Event Database (VAERS), as of April 2022, a total of 4,113 foetal deaths had been reported as adverse reactions to the Covid-19 injections, 3,209 of which were reported against the Pfizer injection.

4,113 Fetal Deaths

following COVID-19 vaccines

17 Months Time Period


Table

		
Vaccine/Manufacturer	Count	Percent
TOTAL	† 4,382	† 106.54%
COVID19 / PFIZER/BIONTECH	3,209	78.02%
COVID19 / MODERNA	996	24.22%
COVID19 / JANSSEN	140	3.4%
UNK / UNKNOWN MANUFACTURER	21	0.51%
COVID19 / UNKNOWN MANUFACTURER	10	0.24%
HEPA / UNKNOWN MANUFACTURER	2	0.05%
TDAP / GLAXOSMITHKLINE BIOLOGICALS	1	0.02%
TD / SANOFI PASTEUR	1	0.02%
IPV / SANOFI PASTEUR	1	0.02%
FLUC4 / SEQIRUS, INC.	1	0.02%
† Because some cases have multiple vaccinations and symptoms, a single case can account for multiple entries in this table. This is the reason why the Total Count is greater than 4113 (the number of cases found), and the Total Percentage is greater than 100.		

Credit: Health Impact News

The CDC has admitted that just 1 to 10% of adverse reactions are actually reported to VAERS therefore the true figure could be many times worse. But to put these numbers into perspective, there were only 2,239 reported foetal deaths to VAERS in the 30 years prior to the emergency use authorisation of the Covid-19 injections in December of 2020. ([Source](#)).

And a further study which can be viewed [here](#), found that the risk of suffering a miscarriage following Covid-19 vaccination is 1,517% higher than the risk of suffering a miscarriage following flu vaccination.



The true risk could however actually be much higher because pregnant women are a target group for Flu vaccination, whereas they are only a small demographic in terms of Covid-19 vaccination so far.

63,060% increase in Child Excess Deaths across Europe

In the scorching summer of 2021, a momentous decision swept across Europe, sparking a whirlwind of emotions among parents, who had fallen for the 24/7 propaganda, eagerly awaiting a ray of hope for their children.

The European Medicines Agency (EMA) had finally granted emergency use approval for the use of the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine in children aged 12 to 15.

Relief and elation surged through the hearts of countless naive parents who saw this as a beacon of protection against the alleged pandemic.

Yet, the winds of fortune took an unexpected turn as the vaccine rollout for children commenced. Startling reports emerged, revealing a distressing surge in excess deaths among the young ones across the continent. The sense of optimism quickly faded among the thousands of families affected, and was replaced by a grim reality that cast a shadow over the hopes of many.

Tragically, the statistics paint a haunting picture, with a staggering 63,060% surge in excess deaths among children aged 0 to 14 by the twenty-second week of 2023. These numbers whisper a chilling tale of consequences that were foreseen by many silenced and heavily censored voices.

During week 21 of 2021, the European Medicines Agency extended the emergency use authorization of the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine, first to children aged 12 to 15 and later to the age group of 5 to 11.



The screenshot shows the top of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) website. The header includes the EMA logo and name, a search bar, and a navigation menu with links to Medicines, Human regulatory, Veterinary regulatory, Committees, News & events, Partners & networks, and About us. The main content area features a news article titled "First COVID-19 vaccine approved for children aged 12 to 15 in EU" with a "Share" button. Below the title, the date "News 28/05/2021" is highlighted with a red box. The article text states: "EMA's human medicines committee (CHMP) has recommended granting an extension of indication for the COVID-19 vaccine Comirnaty to include use in children aged 12 to 15. The vaccine is already approved for use in adults and adolescents aged 16 and above."

Source



Comirnaty COVID-19 vaccine: EMA recommends approval for children aged 5 to 11 [Share](#)

News 25/11/2021


EMA's human medicines committee (CHMP) has recommended granting an extension of indication for the COVID-19 vaccine Comirnaty to include use in children aged 5 to 11. The vaccine, developed by BioNTech and Pfizer, is already approved for use in adults and children aged 12 and above.

Source

However, the weeks following the approval witnessed a shocking rise in excess deaths among children, an upward trend that persisted unabated.

Between week 22 of 2021 and week 52 of 2021, an alarming tally of 919 excess deaths among 0 to 14-year-old children should have sent shockwaves through the continent. But the data was suppressed.


The contrast couldn't have been starker, as the period between week 1 and week 21 of 2021 saw 218 fewer deaths than expected.



Source

And the fact the surge in excess deaths aligns perfectly with the EMA's approval of the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine for children aged 12 to 15 cannot be merely dismissed as coincidence.

The distressing trend continued throughout 2022, with a total of 1,639 excess deaths among children aged 0 to 14 across the 26 European countries, painting a grim reality that cannot be dismissed.



Source


Sadly, as week 22 of 2023 arrived, the haunting truth emerged as 590 more excess deaths were recorded, bringing the heart-wrenching total to 3,148 deaths among children.




Source

The somber figures reveal an unprecedented 63,060% surge in excess deaths since the European Medicines Agency extended emergency use authorization of the Covid-19 vaccine to children aged 12 to 15.

The contrast with the previous period couldn't be starker.



From week 21 of 2019 to week 21 of 2021, 5 fewer deaths occurred among children aged 0 to 14 than expected.



Week 21 to 52 of 2019 (Pre-“Pandemic”) Source

The entirety of 2020 witnessed a notable decline of 230 fewer deaths than expected, painting a chilling narrative.




Source

Meanwhile the year 2021 up to week 21 prior to emergency use authorisation of the Covid-19 injection for children across Europe witnessed 218 fewer deaths than expected.




Source

The staggering increase in excess deaths among children aged 0 to 14 across 26 European countries, including the UK, France, Spain, Italy, and Germany, paints a bleak picture of an astounding 63,060% surge since the European Medicines Agency extended emergency use authorization of the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine to children aged 12 to 15.



This distressing reality raises serious concerns, considering the experimental nature of the injections and its previous avoidance due to the risks of antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) and Vaccine-Associated Enhanced Disease (VAED).

Moreover, administering the vaccine to children, who were not at significant risk from the alleged Covid-19 virus, seems perplexing in light of the 449 fewer deaths recorded among children aged 0 to 14 across Europe in 2020, from the onset of the alleged pandemic to the year's end.



Source

With the risk of this turning into an essay that concludes our evidence for today, but there is plenty more of it out there and we will make sure to report on it.

But with –

- Confidential Pfizer documents showing a miscarriage rate between 82% and 97%,
- The only animal study performed to prove the safety of administering the Pfizer vaccine during pregnancy indicating an increased risk of infertility and birth defects,
- Further confidential Pfizer documents revealing the vaccine accumulates in the ovaries, data from Scotland revealing cases of Ovarian cancer are at an all time high,

- Further data from Scotland revealing deaths of new born babies have hit critical levels for the second time in seven months,
- CDC VAERS data showing Covid-19 vaccination increases the risk of suffering a miscarriage by at least 1,517%,
- And EMA approval of the COVID Vaccine for Children causing a shocking 63,060% increase in Child excess deaths across Europe;

It looks like we already have more than enough evidence to make the claim that Covid-19 vaccination is causing mass depopulation, and Deagel's apocalyptic figures for the Western World in 2025 are on track to be realised.

Original Article: <https://expose-news.com/2023/12/24/deagels-depopulation-forecast-confirmed-by-pfizer/>

Subscribe to Truth11.com

Receive Articles By Email

 **Subscribe now**

Support Truth11.com • Make A Donation

- Become A Subscriber

Armed With The Truth • United We Stand